

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Wishington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		1232-4421US1	1475
09/664,715	09/19/2000	Fumio Uehara	1232-4421031	•
7590 04/25/2003			EXAMINER	
Morgan & Fin	negan LLP		CHEN, KIN CHAN	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154				
11011 2 3223			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			A-
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	•	09/664,715	UEHARA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kin-Chan Chen	1765
Period fo	- Th MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on the cov r sheet	with the correspond nce address
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Signs of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications.	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may inication. If 37 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes that application to become application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 <i>March 2003</i></u> .	
.,⊿ 2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for formal ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	ion of Claims	annlication	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-41</u> is/are pending in the	e withdrawn from consideration.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	C WILLIAM HOLL COLORGIAN	
	Claim(s) <u>28-39</u> is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 40 and 41 is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	tion and/or alaction requirement	
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	cuon and/or election requirement.	
	ion Papers	- Fyaminer	
9) 📙	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
10)∐	Applicant may not request that any obj	iection to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
44.	The proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a) approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.
11)∐	If approved, corrected drawings are re	guired in reply to this Office action.	
420	The oath or declaration is objected to		
1		, .	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim	o for foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
		Hor foreign priority and a co	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	documents have been received	
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in Application No
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have t	peen received in this National Stage
	application from the Inter-	national Bureau (FCT Rule 17.2) on for a list of the certified copies	not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional application h	as been received.
Attachme			
1) 🖾 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	PTO-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:

Application/Control Number: 09/664,715

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,199,563. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US 6,199,563 teaches completely dipping a substrate with porous layer into an etching solution and removing the porous layer while rotating the substrate and supplying ultrasonic waves (claim 41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/664,715

Art Unit: 1765

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakaguchi (US 6,337,030).

In a method of wafer processing, Sakaguchi teaches completely dipping a substrate with porous layer into an etching solution and removing the porous layer while rotating the substrate and supplying ultrasonic waves (claim 41), see col. 3-5.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/664,715

Art Unit: 1765

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2934.

K-C C April 18, 2003 Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 1765